

---

## The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by MikeM - 2008/09/29 12:56

---

Awesome Kong is a good wrestler, who could easily have a good match with the men, but other than that none of them are that good. Gail Kim was good and now she's gone. ODB and Roxxi are okay at best. I've never even seen the Beautiful People do any moves, all they do is punch and kick.

And let's face it, the only reason people hype up the women's division in TNA is because they hate the women's division in WWE so much. Even the worst male wrestler in TNA is better than the best woman wrestler.

Their personalities are so dumb too. Their characters don't come natural and it looks like their trying too hard to be a certain way and overdoing it. Yes, I'm well aware that the Knockouts get the best ratings on Impact, but you know what, the WWE Diva Search got good ratings are well, so just because guys like to look at girls, doesn't mean that people are tuning in each week to watch the women have a match that's half as good as the men's matches.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Saint - 2008/09/29 13:35

---

honestly, I have to agree with pretty much everything that you said. The only thing that I don't agree with you on is that even the worse male wrestler is better than the best female wrestler... I'm sorry but I have never seen anybody, man or woman, that is worse than The Great Khali. NOBODY!!!!!!

But, like I said, I agree with everything else, the KOs are over-rated and most of them aren't even half as good as most people seem to think they are. hmmmph... ODB mmmph... I heard that Taylor was/is a great wrestler but since she started her TNA run she's done nothing out of the ordinary in the ring and Roxxi got screwed so much by the booking comitee that I couldn't care for her even if I tried to (wich I don't anyway). The only good things in the division right now are TBP. (Kong is great too but I'm getting tired of seeing her squash nobodys and then lose to Taylor all the time, she sould really move to the mens division)

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by soultaker - 2008/09/29 15:20

---

Saint wrote:

honestly, I have to agree with pretty much everything that you said. The only thing that I don't agree with you on is that even the worse male wrestler is better than the best female wrestler... I'm sorry but I have never seen anybody, man or woman, that is worse than The Great Khali. NOBODY!!!!!!

But, like I said, I agree with everything else, the KOs are over-rated and most of them aren't even half as good as most people seem to think they are. hmmmph... ODB mmmph... I heard that Taylor was/is a great wrestler but since she started her TNA run she's done nothing out of the ordinary in the ring and Roxxi got screwed so much by the booking comitee that I couldn't care for her even if I tried to (wich I don't anyway). The only good things in the division right now are TBP. (Kong is great too but I'm getting tired of seeing her squash nobodys and then lose to Taylor all the time, she sould really move to the mens division)i think its good:woohoo:

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by MikeM - 2008/09/29 16:19

---

Saint wrote:

The only thing that I don't agree with you on is that even the worse male wrestler is better than the best female wrestler... I'm sorry but I have never seen anybody, man or woman, that is worse than The Great Khali. NOBODY!!!!!!

I edited my original post to just say TNA wrestlers, which is what I meant. Obviously Great Khali is the worst wrestler ever.

=====

---

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Saint - 2008/09/29 18:18

---

I want to correct myself, I just thought of someone who would have made The Great Khali look like Dean Malenko in the ring... Giant Gonzalez!!!

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by kdebones - 2008/09/29 18:42

---

Well let me first off say that i'm not a huge KO fan, but come on guys. They're not THAT overrated. Let me break it down (i love that line).

1. Roxxi and ODB can out wrestle any women you find, and honestly, i'd like to see you try
2. TBP don't just "punch and kick", they do have SOME wrestling moves, ie. Octopus submission, Russian Legsweep, Sleeper Slam, Snapmare/Dropkick, I CAN GO ON FOR A WHILE!
3. The only personallity among the KO that i feel is "Forced" is Raisha Saeed because she rather wrestle as Cheerleader Mellisa and we all know it.

Other then those few things, i pretty much agree with everything else, 'specially that Kong is by far the best womens wrestler ever and can beat up men :evil:

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Cobra Blade - 2008/09/30 00:03

---

I can agree with a lot of what you said there MikeM. Especially as far as the ratings go, they really shouldn't read to much into them and focus more on how it actually is.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by wcvrules - 2008/09/30 02:45

---

yeah i agree with like 99% of that, but the knockouts are far more better then divas.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Cobra Blade - 2008/09/30 09:26

---

You've got that right, Divas don't even compare to Knockouts.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/09/30 09:32

---

So explain to me why the knockouts are better than the divas and use specific examples.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by kdebones - 2008/09/30 09:41

---

---

JeffX wrote:

So explain to me why the knockouts are better than the divas and use specific examples.

K-O-N-G :laugh:

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Cobra Blade - 2008/09/30 09:48

---

Less about looks, more about action, Kong being the awesome example :laugh:

Plus no BS bra & panties crap. Not to mention they don't have to appear in Playboy before their character actually gets a push.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Saint - 2008/09/30 10:51

---

Cobra Blade wrote:

Less about looks, more about action, Kong being the awesome example :laugh:

Plus no BS bra & panties crap. Not to mention they don't have to appear in Playboy before their character actually gets a push.

some corrections: It's been years since the last bra and panties match that I can remember. and secondly, only the divas who can't wrestle get pushed by appearing in playboy :laugh: (ex: Trashley, Maria) those who actually can wrestle don't have to do it to get a push (ex: Beth Phoenix, Mickie James, Melina)

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/09/30 11:57

---

So the lack of specific examples proves there is NO difference in the two companies women performers. If some of you were actually paying attention instead of spewing the same old fanboy trash you might actually realize how wrong you are.

So when was the last bra and panties match?

What's the difference between bra and panties and bimbo brawls?

Explain to me why Mickie James, Beth Phoenix, Michelle McCool, and Melina all of whom have never been in Playboy all have had or do have a women's title?

I'll give you that Kong is awesome, but she isn't the main focus on the Knockout division.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Chris - 2008/09/30 12:52

---

JeffX wrote:

What's the difference between bra and panties and bimbo brawl?

Bra and Panties matches are essentially stripper matches and Bimbo Brawls are Hardcore Women Matches with a dumb name.

=====

---

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by 5150thug - 2008/09/30 17:24

---

say want you what about the knockouts but I'm pretty sure that awesome kong,ODB,and Roxxi can out wrestle most of the divas by themselves. ooh and can someone tell me how to get my icon up,they keep saying I don't have permission thats Bull!:angry:

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/09/30 18:51

---

Chris wrote:

JeffX wrote:

What's the difference between bra and panties and bimbo brawl?

Bra and Panties matches are essentially stripper matches and Bimbo Brawls are Hardcore Women Matches with a dumb name.

Don't you think calling women bimbos is derogatory? I do, but maybe I'm crazy. I feel the same way about the bra and panties matches, but when was the last time they actually had one of those matches?

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by The Icon - 2008/09/30 21:11

---

I'd Have to disagree the knockouts are a 100 Time more better that the Divas Ok some are not the best wrestlers but at least they started out training to be wrestles not model that the wwe brings in & then there trained to wrestles Besides Kong, Taylor Wildie is a good wrestler & Roxxi goes in there and with get busted up,Angelina Love & velvet Shy are good but TNA doesn't allow there Characters to wrestle good ODB is good to she a brawler but OK other than Mickie James most of the Divas just suck

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by kdebones - 2008/09/30 22:20

---

You know, i would actually like to get a take on this by one of TNA's Knock Outs. Can anyone get Jess to ask one of them to give their opinion about this (out of character of course :laugh: ).

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Cobra Blade - 2008/09/30 22:53

---

kdebones wrote:

You know, i would actually like to get a take on this by one of TNA's Knock Outs. Can anyone get Jess to ask one of them to give their opinion about this (out of character of course :laugh: ).

Sounds like a great idea :woohoo:

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Chris - 2008/10/02 17:31

---

JeffX wrote:

---

Chris wrote:

JeffX wrote:

What's the difference between bra and panties and bimbo brawl?

Bra and Panties matches are essentially stripper matches and Bimbo Brawls are Hardcore Women Matches with a dumb name.

Don't you think calling women bimbos is derogatory? I do, but maybe I'm crazy. I feel the same way about the bra and panties matches, but when was the last time they actually had one of those matches?

I don't watch WWE so I don't know when they had their last bra and panties match.

As too the "Bimbo Brawls" name, yes, I agree I feel that word bimbo is derogatory term towards women, granted there are some real dumb women out there but there are more a lot of very smart women out there as well.

As I stated in another thread if it were up to me I would call them "Knockout Street Fight" matches but that is me I'm not running TNA.

=====

### Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/10/02 18:50

---

The Icon wrote:

I'd Have to disagree the knockouts are a 100 Time more better that the Divas Ok some are not the best wrestlers but at least they started out training to be wrestles not model that the wwe brings in & then there trained to wrestles Besides Kong, Taylor Wildie is a good wrestler & Roxxi goes in there and with get busted up,Angelina Love & velvet Shy are good but TNA doesn't allow there Characters to wrestle good ODB is good to she a brawler but OK other than Mickie James most of the Divas just suck

So one that gets busted open makes them a good wrestler? That Mike Levy guy from IWA got tore up, but I wouldn't put him in a good wrestler category.

So if some of them wanted to be models that means they can't wrestle or change their minds about wrestling? Have you seen some of Velvet Sky's pictures she did for Missy Hyatt's site? It sure looked like modeling to me.

I still don't see how the knockouts are any better technically wise then the divas. There is no to a very little difference in the wrestling styles of these two groups. The only big difference is Kong, but she doesn't make the whole division.

=====

### Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by tnarules94 - 2008/10/04 18:20

---

the knockouts are 100% better then wwe divas why? when i repeat when was the last time you saw a wwe diva get busted open or even take a WEAPON AT ALL!

=====

### Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/10/06 09:34

---

tnarules94 wrote:

the knockouts are 100% better then wwe divas why? when i repeat when was the last time you saw a wwe diva get busted open or even take a WEAPON AT ALL!

Using weapons and bleeding doesn't make you better. If that is true than Mike Levy is the greatest wrestler of all time.

=====

### Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Cobra Blade - 2008/10/06 19:18

---

I think it is time we put up the very best.  
Perhaps a YouTube clip of the best Knockouts match verses the best YouTube clip of a Divas match.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/10/07 07:38

---

Its been a week and as of yet no one has given a convincing argument on why the Knockouts are better. I doubt your video will provide a convincing argument.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Chris - 2008/10/07 12:31

---

JeffX wrote:

Its been a week and as of yet no one has given a convincing argument on why the Knockouts are better. I doubt your video will provide a convincing argument.

Most of the divas come off as just that they are just wannabe supermodels that try and use the WWE to make their name so they can get into modeling and/or movies. Most of them (but not all of them) are just glorified eye candy.

The TNA Knockouts are women wrestlers first and foremost, and not just eye candy and most of them are not wannabe supermodels and movie stars (OK other than Selians) and most of them are better wrestlers than the divas.

Also added to the fact that TNA makes the Knockouts, thier title, and the whole divison like it's important. In their best days the WWE treat their Women/Divas Division as just filler.

How many TNA Knockout matches have been main events on Impact or have been featured matches on TNA PPVs?  
There's been quite a few.

In WWE when was the last time that a WWE Women or WWE Diva title match ever been the main event on RAW, Smackdown, or ECW other than a WWE Women title between Lita vs. Steph McMahon which a RAW main event) YEARS ago I don't know of any other.

When was a women match a featured match on a WWE PPV?

Does the WWE at least and TRY to make their women, division, and titles feel as important as the WWE Championship, World Heavyweight Championship, or ECW Championship title matches?

No, they don't in my opinion.

TNA at least TRIES to make the Knockouts feel as their play a major role on Impact and on the PPVs.

Do they always succeed?

No, but they should get an "A+" for a solid effort at least.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Curio - 2008/10/07 13:51

---

JeffX wrote:

Its been a week and as of yet no one has given a convincing argument on why the Knockouts are better. I doubt your video will provide a convincing argument. Well I don't know how to go about changing your mind, but to me it's just a difference in how the divisions are handled that make me see the Knockouts as better. When you see the group of divas all walk together, sure you make know their names but they all often look the same. TNA's Knockouts at least have their own looks.

---

For me the main quality difference is the wrestling. Is every Knockout better than the Divas? God no, but TNA allows them to go out and work matches different than what the WWE allows the Divas to work...

Oh and for the record, I agree blood does not make a wrestler better.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/10/08 10:56

---

@Curio. It isn't about changing my mind. Its about a convincing argument on WHY the Knockouts are better than the Divas. So far no one has stated one word that the Knockouts are better wrestlers. Chris put up good points on why TNA values their women's division much more than WWE. But that doesn't tell me or anyone else that may be reading this why they as wrestlers are better.

Yes we rarely ever see a Diva main event, but you usually see a Diva match high on the card. The last two Wrestlemania's have had their Divas match in the last half of the card. The same goes for the Raw's and Smackdown's. The women are still given plenty of tv time and are usually placed higher on the card than some male wrestlers.

But once again people want to make the argument that because the Divas were models that makes them some how unworthy to be in the ring. And it doesn't at all. everyone has the right to make those choices. Also when was the last movie a Diva was in if they are here to be in movies? I've never seen them in one. This is also a double edged sword. By blaming them for being in movies you'll have to make the same accusations to the likes of The Rock, Terry Funk, Kevin Nash, Goldberg, and every other male wrestler that has been in movies. So are those men not allowed to be in wrestling or to have their legacy in this business looked down upon. I may not care for all of them, but Terry Funk is one of my favorites and I wouldn't dare to doubt his love for wrestling.

Also the Knockouts have down modeling in the past. Look at Velvet Sky, Taylor Wilde for example. Those two on their pages have modeling pictures up. Yet you don't attack them. Do you all see the double standards here?

My whole argument is that I and I think most other unbiased wrestling fans will say the same thing. Is that from a technical wrestling point their really isn't much of a difference between how the matches are set-up. Except the hardcore matches in TNA. Which I think we can all safely say; taking a chair shot or swinging a chair doesn't make you a good wrestler.

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by TomkoLove - 2008/10/08 13:38

---

What?! I'm sorry, I only know wrestling from what i've been seeing or have watched myself.. but still! How can being able to take a chair shot or swinging a chair not make you a better wrestler? Doesn't that just mean that you're not only able to wrestle in the ring, but you also have the ability to adapt and use weapons? I think that's pretty cool if you ask me B)

And as far as the divas/knockouts thing.. Well, my argument isn't going to be as detailed as others.. but I personally like watching the knockouts more than the divas. I remember watching a wwe show a while ago, and when a diva match came on, I actually changed the channel because it bored me. There's just something about the Knockouts that keep me interested.

And thats pretty hard to do seeing as how I have the attention span of a five year old who has just ate a pound of candy. MMMmmm.. candy! And also, I'm constantly on the lookout for Tomko. So, yea. Not really paying attention unless he pops out of Booker's briefcase or something... lol

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Curio - 2008/10/08 18:22

---

Jeff I must say, the second to last spot on a PPV card is the "Death match" spot, as usually it's the "run to the bathroom, concession stand so you don't miss the main event" spot.

---

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Chris - 2008/10/09 09:04

---

JeffX wrote:

But once again people want to make the argument that because the Divas were models that makes them some how unworthy to be in the ring. And it doesn't at all. everyone has the right to make those choices. Also when was the last movie a Diva was in if they are here to be in movies? I've never seen them in one. This is also a double edged sword. By blaming them for being in movies you'll have to make the same accusations to the likes of The Rock, Terry Funk, Kevin Nash, Goldberg, and every other male wrestler that has been in movies. So are those men not allowed to be in wrestling or to have their legacy in this business looked down upon. I may not care for all of them, but Terry Funk is one of my favorites and I wouldn't dare to doubt his love for wrestling.

Also the Knockouts have down modeling in the past. Look at Velvet Sky, Taylor Wilde for example. Those two on their pages have modeling pictures up. Yet you don't attack them. Do you all see the double standards here?

OK let me clarify this: I don't care for the Divas that have no business in the ring because they don't have the desire to wrestle, or be a valet/manager they want to use the WWE to get into Playboy and use WWE as a spring board. Women like Mickie James, Beth Phoenix, and Victoria come to WWE to wrestle not to use it as a way to get into Playboy. Now if they want to pose nude in Playboy that is their business Sable, Chyna, and Christy Hemme all did and with the exception of Hemme posing in Playboy probably hurt Sable and Chyna more than help their wrestling careers.

Now as for the photo-shoots are a part of the wrestling business for both males and females and I have no problem with it but when a Diva or Knockout is just using WWE and TNA just to get into getting into Playboy and they have no real interest in wrestling then that woman should be NOT be in a wrestling promotion period.

The Knockouts are better than the divas because they're first and foremost wrestlers.

---

---

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Cobra Blade - 2008/10/11 19:00

---

Much along the lines of what Chris is saying, you also have to look at the purpose of the divisions. The diva division seems to be aimed purely at being eye candy. Angelina Love even said she was told off for doing her hair differently than the other divas over there.

Then with the Knockouts there is obviously an intention for wrestling there when you hire people like Kong and ODB. TNA obviously also takes pride in this and draws peoples attention to it when they describe the divas as barbies wanting to play dress up and wrestle.

---

---

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by Boulderface - 2008/10/12 04:11

---

The TS is overrated and this thread is overrated too.

---

---

=====

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by wcvrules - 2008/10/12 06:00

---

i dont know what you mean by "TS" but i surely hope you dont mean tna wrestlers, but lol this thread has had alot of posts.

---

---

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by scagneti - 2008/10/12 08:05

---

Because there is precious little hair pulling in the Knockouts matches.

Also, unlike the Divas, a bulldog is NOT a finishing move!

The matches have a thought-out, methodical feel to them, not a "okay, you ladies pose in the ring for a couple minutes in your completely impractical for wrestling attire, grab each others hair and make ridiculous grunting sounds, and one will hit the other with a move that wouldn't even warrant a pin attempt in the man's division, and that'll be the end".

Oh, and how often does the WWE have their "mixed tag matches" which is essentially just a way to say "our ladies aren't anywhere near as good as our fellas". The Knockouts are in there with men constantly -- even the weaker ones, like Velvet (who'll be going against Rhino tonight) and Chrissy (who went against the Brotherhood at the last PPV).

Hooray -- my first post! :) I've only been watching for a couple months, but I was a WWE fan for years up until the brand-extension. My boyfriend got me watching again because he said how much more his dad likes TNA over WWE. Oh, and I found out where Christian is, and I \*heart\* Christian!

---

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by TomkoLove - 2008/10/12 08:33

---

Christian is yummy. :kiss:

---

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by cid - 2008/10/12 11:22

---

Saint wrote:

honestly, I have to agree with pretty much everything that you said. The only thing that I don't agree with you on is that even the worse male wrestler is better than the best female wrestler... I'm sorry but I have never seen anybody, man or woman, that is worse than The Great Khali. NOBODY!!!!!!

Hey yo yo dogg I'm talking bout the great khali got style you know I'm saying, he be making moves you know I mean he be slippin the tongue, common now lol <http://xtremeregime.com/images/fbfiles/images/khali.jpg>

---

## Re:The Knockouts are overrated! There, I said it.

Posted by JeffX - 2008/10/13 10:58

---

I'll say this again for those of you who seem to either can't read. No one is saying that TNA doesn't put more of an emphasis on their women's division. What I think the original poster as well as myself are saying is as far as wrestling ability goes their is little to no difference.

People want to talk about the Divas ring attire, but Velvet Sky and Angelina Love come to the ring in short skirts, and their breast hanging out. Is that actual ring attire? No, but I guess thats ok since they wrestle for TNA and you have to keep up with the elitism and your own underdog fantasy.

Cobra Blade yes TNA has ODB and Kong. I like Kong, and think she's great. I don't care for ODB, but I believe thats more the horrible gimmick she's been stuck with. But on the other hand the WWE has women like Natalya Neidhart, and Beth Phoenix. Those two women can wrestle as well.